Considerations in Scoring Mock Trial Attorneys and Witnesses

Attorneys	
	Did they provide an overview of the witnesses and their testimony, evidence, and how it will prove the case?
Opening Statement	Did they introduce a theme/theory of the case?
	Did they outline the burden of proof?
	What is the requested relief (what the side is asking the court to decide)?
Direct Attorney / Examination	Asked properly phased open ended questions that allowed explanation or description of the situation
	Sequenced questions logically
	Did not ask questions that required any unfair extrapolations
	Laid foundation for witness testimony
	Elicited relevant, important evidence from witnesses
	Continued with consistent theme/theory of the case
	Provided proper objections during opposing team's cross examination
	Utilized objections to move the case forward and not just to throw the other side off their game
	Made/defended objections utilizing rules of evidence or the rules of the competition
	Recovered well after objections
	Adjusted to judges' rulings
	Addressed actual testimony
	Followed proper protocol for introducing exhibits
	Demonstrated an understanding of the rules of competition and evidence
	On re-direct, which is fully optional, rehabilitated/redeemed witnesses ¹
Cross Attorney / Examination	Continued with consistent theme/theory of the case
	Provided proper objections during opposing team's direct examination
	Made/defended to objections utilizing rules of evidence or the rules of the competition Utilized objections to move the case forward and not just to throw the other sided off their game
	Recovered well after objections
	Adjusted to judges' rulings
	Addressed actual testimony
	Elicited facts favorable to the attorney's case
	·
	Used appropriate leading questions suggesting a "yes/no" answer
	Attempted to appropriately control the witness consistent with the judges' rulings
	Properly impeached the witness, if needed, without appearing to harass or intimidate
	Followed proper protocol for introducing exhibits
	Demonstrated an understanding of the rules of competition and evidence
	Limited re-cross examination to scope of redirect examination, recross examinations are fully optional ²
Closing Arguments	Incorporated what transpired during trial
	Summarized the evidence with reasoned arguments
	Outlined the strengths of his/her side's witnesses and the weaknesses of the other side's witnesses
	Discussed relevant exhibits when appropriate
	Theme was carried through to closing
	Refers to jury instructions or other legal standards when necessary
	Asked for the verdict, including a request for relief, if appropriate and explained why the verdict was justifiable
Witnesses	

Presented an interesting and authentic character

Played up the strengths of his/her statements and adequately explained the weaknesses

Understood the facts of the case and the exhibits

Provided logical testimony

Sounded spontaneous and not memorized

Performance Did not give <u>excessively</u> long or <u>excessively</u> non-responsive answers on cross examination³

Portrayed a consistent character under cross examination Maintained factual position under cross examination

Recovered well after objections

Remained in character when not on the witness stand

Notes

 $^{^{1}}$ Redirect and re-cross examinations are fully optional. Teams must make such decisions based on time constraint and other factors.

² See above.

³ It is important to note the word "excessively" in this consideration. Witnesses may be coached to strategically respond to questions in order to avoid unnecessary concessions. Witnesses should not intentionally waste their opponent's time.